

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.

**Friends of Penzance Harbour address to the
Strategic Planning Committee
8th March 2010**

Councillors... thank you for this opportunity to speak to your committee... it's a pleasure but an unexpected one... we never believed for one moment that the Council would resubmit an application that was so comprehensively and fairly dealt with by your committee just 12 weeks ago.

So why are we here? The applicant is claiming circumstances have changed, but have they? The EH objection still stands and the Council's interpretation of certain additional EH remarks to mean that they only took account of heritage aspects is wrong. EH base their advice on PPG15 and their position on the rock armour - which they don't like but haven't objected to - shows that their advice is already the result of balancing heritage with other considerations including economic considerations. And you were all told before the December meeting that Option C had been ruled out and that the new sea wall would be granite clad not tinted concrete, so there is **no change of circumstances** and you are free to confirm your previous decision.

You may of course be wondering what the public think.

In a Cornishman poll organised just a few weeks ago 70% of voters rejected Option A, and a Penzance Town Council vote one week ago saw 75% of councillors oppose Option A. These figures are consistent with all other polling over the previous year, but shortly you will hear about thousands of people that have signed petitions and networked on Facebook to support Option A. All this organised by groups that didn't exist the last time you met, groups set up by people that had nothing to say until a few weeks ago... indeed were silent until your committee gave its verdict on Option A back in December. There can't have been a single person in Penzance that wasn't aware of the harbour issue but these people sat mute. I don't know why they are speaking out now and in such an aggressive way but I do know that those seeking signatures and support on Facebook have based their whole campaign on two potent but profoundly misleading claims that originated in County Hall: that there is no alternative to Option A and that the Isles of Scilly Link will move to Falmouth if Option A isn't accepted.

Well, both the Council's own consultants and the current operator have ruled Falmouth out. It is not and has never been a viable option, but I want to make one thing absolutely clear... we are not fair weather Friends. If the

Route Partnership were to attempt to move the link to Falmouth then we would fight and challenge that decision just as we have fought (and will challenge if necessary) a decision to proceed with Option A.

And **there are alternatives** in Penzance.

The advantages of Option C remain, the cost grounds for ruling it out are disputed, and the newly available green sheds/Meadery site deals with the concerns about using the Trinity House Building.

But there is also Option PZ. It's pretty much ready to go. It brings instant regeneration. It ticks all the boxes that Option A does plus a greatly enhanced experience for travellers and no infill of Battery Rocks beach. A shorter development period will compensate for any time taken up finalising the plans, and it would have the huge advantage of proceeding without local or English Heritage objections. Compared with the fate that awaits Option A if it proceeds beyond this meeting, Option PZ will move smoothly and quickly through the planning process and stand a much better chance of securing funding. While its proponents set out to fill a gap should Option A fail, they have succeeded in creating an alternative that is vastly superior to Option A and that brings real added benefits both to the Scillies and to Penzance. It doesn't address all our concerns but it is a beautiful compromise that anyone with a genuine interest in the future of Penzance will support.

With your help Penzance can have the best of both worlds... keeping its heritage and treasured seafront while creating excellent new facilities for the Isles of Scilly Link.

Our whole protest has been based on the belief that if major changes to a town are proposed that town should have a right to be part of the decision-making process. So far Penzance has been ignored, attacked, insulted, threatened and subverted by Cornwall Council but never yet treated as an equal partner. Until we are granted that right our protests will continue.

The application before you would not have been resubmitted if you were not expected to change your mind but please resist what we know must have been very great pressure, show again the courage you showed last December, and help Penzance to shape its own future by saying "no" to this request for listed building consent.